

**WSFW** - Recommends a full critical area report be completed for the project that includes a channel migration study, to better understand the potential impacts to the Shoreline environment and critical areas on the site. **Jennifer Nelson**

**WA DAHP** – Recommends a professional archaeological survey of the project area be conducted and a report be produced prior to ground disturbing activities.

Please note the recommendations provided in this letter reflect only the opinions of DAHP. Any interested Tribes may have different recommendations. **Sydney Hanson**

**CCT** – Requests a cultural resource survey prior to the implementation of ground disturbing activities and that during implementation there be an inadvertent discovery plan (IDP) in place to ensure compliance with all section 106 and relevant cultural resource laws both federally and to the State of Washington. **Connor Armii**

**Dept of Ecology (Essentially use existing access)**  
**Ryan Anderson**



**Linda Horish** <lhorish@gmail.com>  
to connor.armi.hsy@colvilletribes.com

Feb 14, 2024, 4:24 PM (10 days ago)

**Good afternoon,**

**My name is Linda Horish and I have a couple of questions regarding your comments to my proposed driveway.**

**Paragraph 1 of your response to Bradley Gasawski, "This undertaking involves ground disturbances over 30,000 sf, which includes the scraping for an unpaved driveway for parcel/residential acces."**

**The proposed private driveway will not have any ground disturbing actions that would destroy any archaeological or historic resources. One foot of gravel will only be placed on top of pasture land. There will be no vegetation clearing/removal to accommodate driveway construction.**

**Paragraph 5 "Please be advised that a documented Traditional Cultural Property (TCP) is located less than a mile from the proposed project."**

**What and where is the TCP?**

**Paragraph 6 "CCT requests a cultural resource survey prior to the implementation of ground disturbing activities ....**

**What is involved with a cultural resource survey and who does this survey?**

**I am enclosing a copy of my response for your review.**

**Thank you for your time.**

**Sincerely,**

**Linda Horish**

**Enc.**



**Connor Armi**

Feb 22, 2024, 5:22 PM (2 days ago)

to Guy, Sydney, me

Hello Linda,

The area hasn't been previously surveyed for cultural resources and according to the DAHP predictive model is in a high probability area with significant cultural resources nearby. This alone is the basis for recommending a survey. Ground disturbing activity: while it is heartening to hear that the design of your proposed driveway is dumping a foot of gravel on pasture land, the land wasn't always pasture land and would then have been buried by 1 foot of gravel.

The purpose of conducting a cultural resource survey isn't to prevent you from completing this project, it is about the protection and recordation of cultural resources that may be there unbenounced to anyone.

Traditional Cultural Properties are properties of significance. TCPs are places important to the CTCR for the preservation and continuation of the community's traditional lifestyle. TCPs can be, but are not limited to, religious areas, sacred areas, resource gathering areas (plant, animal, fish, and mineral), places associated with stories and legends, archaeological and ethnographic sites, habitation sites, camp sites, pictograph and petroglyph locations, special use sites, trails, and places with Indian names.

In regards to those involved in cultural resources survey that information can be found at the Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). Sydney Hanson is going to be the contact for your project as she is in charge of local level DAHP concerns in Eastern Washington. DAHP has also commented on this project, requesting a survey, and provided much more of the information that you are requesting. I have cc'd her on this email for ease of communication.

On behalf of Guy Moura, Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

Sincerely,

**Connor Armi | Archaeologist Senior MA, RPA**

History/Archaeology Program

Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation

PO Box 150 | Nespelem, WA 99155

d: 509-634-2690 | c: 509-631-1131

[connor.armi.hsy@colvilletribes.com](mailto:connor.armi.hsy@colvilletribes.com)

On Wed, Feb 14, 2024 at 4:25 PM linda horish <[lhorish@gmail.com](mailto:lhorish@gmail.com)> wrote:

**Good afternoon,**

To: Sydney.Hanson, Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation

Good morning,

My name is Linda Horish and I am following up on your response to Bradley Gasawski's email regarding the Shoreline Variance of the proposed private driveway.

**Paragraph 2 "high probability of encountering cultural resources within the proposed project area."**

The proposed private driveway will not have any ground disturbing actions that would destroy any archaeological resources. One foot of gravel, only, will be placed on top of pasture land. There will be no vegetation clearing/removal to accommodate driveway construction.

**Paragraph 3 "any historic buildings or structures (45 years in age or older) located within the project area are evaluated for eligibility for listing in the National Registry" .....**

The proposed private driveway will be in a 30' easement on all pasture land. There are no buildings.

Thank you for your time.

To: Jennifer.Nelson DFW

Good morning,

My name is Linda Horish and I am following up on your response to Bradley Gasawski's email regarding the Shoreline variance application of the proposed driveway.

**Paragraph 1 "This project area includes a dynamic and complex reach of the Yakima River that provides critical habitat for anadromous and resident fish as well as other wildlife of protection."**

The project is strictly located on parcel No. 546534, the southern side of parcel No. 516534. This Parcel No. 546534 is irrigated pasture only.

**Paragraph 3 "Flood and/or erosion risks are likely to increase with vegetation clearing and removal to accommodate road and home construction."**

The proposed private driveway will not have any ground disturbing actions. One foot of gravel will be placed on top of the pasture land. There will be no vegetation clearing/removal to accommodate driveway construction. The home site is on Parcel No 516534 and has been approved by the county.

**Paragraph 5 "There does not appear to be adequate information provided about the existing critical areas with the Shoreline jurisdiction".....**

Ed Sewall, Wetland Consulting, did a critical area report in April, 2023. The report was given to Kittitas County Community Development Services.

Thank you for your time.

Site visit 2/21/2024

Hello Ryan - DOE

I am following up on the Department of Ecology/SEPA response to Bradley Gasawski's email regarding the Shoreline Variance of the proposed driveway.

**While the application may satisfy some of the criteria provided by WAC 173-27-170, it does not appear that a variance is necessary in order for the applicant to have access to their property.**

I respectfully disagree. The parcel No. 546534, where the proposed private driveway will be constructed, was sold in 2020 to, our Lessee's of 20 plus years, Scott and Michele Montgomery, dba Three Peaks Outfitters. I am carrying the contract of purchase. It was mutually agreed on by both seller and buyer for the following conditions: (a) Seller reserves the right of easement to access Kittitas Co. Parcel No. 516534, property which lies due north of Lonzo-Horish west field. (b) Seller has first right of refusal if buyer wishes to sell a portion of the 41.73 acres.

A year after Montgomery purchased parcel No. 546534, they asked to meet with me to address the concerns they had about the potential buyers of Parcel 516534 having to go through two horse gates and dealing with 40 plus horses. Therefore, we agreed Montgomery would give us a 30' wide easement bordering both our east and south property lines replacing easement Q. Just a side note, Montgomery and I share the KRD irrigation ditch running east and west along the southern border of Parcel No. 546534. Also, there is an irrigation ditch running parallel to Easement Q. It would be unfeasible if I were to put a fence on both sides of Easement Q.

**The application should provide a site plan that shows these alternatives as part of a complete application.**

The property was sold as pasture only – open space land. The only plausible alternative, for an easement to access Parcel No. 516534, is along the edge of Montgomery's pasture.

**The proposed future building site is very near the floodway, in rural conservancy shoreline jurisdiction....and may restrict development on site or require increased evaluation and analysis if development is considered in the future.**

We were approved, by Kittitas County, for a single family dwelling in January, 2022.

Thank you for your time.